# Note on "Approximation of Curves by Line Segments" 

By N. Ream

The problem of obtaining a best fit of broken line segments to a curve over a given range has recently been investigated by Stone [1] who has prepared a general computer program to solve the least-squares equations.

The problem arose previously in designing diode function-generators for analog computers [2], [3], [4]. If $f(x)$ is the given curve and ( $u_{0}, u_{N}$ ) is the range to be fitted by $N$ segments, and if $f(x)$ may be approximated by a parabola in each segment, then it may be shown [4] that the unweighted least-squares fit yields the following equation for the breakpoints $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{N-1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{u_{0}}^{u_{j}}\left\{f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right\}^{0.4} d x=\frac{j}{N} \int_{u_{0}}^{u_{N}}\left\{f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right\}^{0.4} d x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that the ordinate $v_{j}$ of each breakpoint is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{j}-f\left(u_{j}\right)=-\frac{1}{12 N^{2}}\left\{f^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{j}\right)\right\}^{0.2}\left[\int_{u_{0}}^{u_{N}}\left\{f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right\}^{0.4} d x\right]^{2} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f(x)=e^{-c x}$ fitted over ( 0,3 ), equations (1) and (2) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
1-e^{-0.4 c u_{j}}=\frac{j}{N}\left(1-e^{-1.2 c}\right)  \tag{3}\\
v_{j}-e^{-c u_{j}}=-\frac{25}{48 N^{2}} e^{-0.2 c u_{j}}\left(1-e^{-1.2 c}\right)^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Table 1 gives values of $u_{1}$ and maximum error $E_{\max }$ computed from (3) and (4) for $N=2$; Stone's values are shown in parentheses. $E_{\text {max }}$ occurs at $x=0$. The table also gives values of the r.m.s. error $R$ which the least-squares analysis aims to minimize; $R$ is computed from the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{N}-u_{0}\right) R^{2}=\left(1 / 720 N^{4}\right)\left[\int_{u_{0}}^{u_{N}}\left\{f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right\}^{0.4} d x\right]^{5} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for the chosen function becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=(6 c)^{-0.5} E_{\max } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivation of equations (1), (2), and (5) involves expanding $f(x)$ in a Taylor series about the center of each segment and retaining the first three terms. Hence (i) the formulas are exact for a parabola-it follows immediately that the best fit to a parabola has equally-spaced breakpoints; (ii) the method fails where $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$.

It may be mentioned that if the "best fit" is required to minimize the maximum

Table 1
$f(x)=e^{-c x}$ fitted with 2 segments over $(0,3)$

| c | $u_{1}$ |  | $E_{\text {max }}$ |  | $R$ | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 1.454 | (1.385) | 0.00166 | (0.0016) | 0.00215 | $0.00121 \pm 0$ |
| 0.2 | 1.410 | (1.400) | 0.00593 | (0.0059) | 0.00541 | $0.00420 \pm 0$ |
| 0.3 | 1.366 | (1.360) | 0.0119 | (0.0119) | 0.00887 | $0.00821 \pm 1$ |
| 0.4 | 1.322 | (1.316) | 0.0189 | (0.0189) | 0.0122 | $0.0127 \pm 0$ |
| 0.5 | 1.278 | (1.276) | 0.0265 | (0.0265) | 0.0153 | $0.0174 \pm 1$ |
| 0.6 | 1.236 | (1.235) | 0.0343 | (0.0344) | 0.0181 | $0.0221 \pm 1$ |
| 0.7 | 1.194 | (1.196) | 0.0420 | (0.0423) | 0.0205 | $0.0264 \pm 2$ |
| 0.8 | 1.153 | (1.155) | 0.0496 | (0.0500) | 0.0226 | $0.0305 \pm 3$ |
| 0.9 | 1.113 | (1.116) | 0.0568 | (0.0574) | 0.0244 | $0.0343 \pm 5$ |
| 1.0 | 1.074 | (1.080) | 0.0636 | (0.0645) | 0.0260 | $0.0377 \pm 7$ |
| 1.2 | 1.001 | (1.008) | 0.0758 | (0.0774) | 0.0283 | $0.0435 \pm 13$ |
| 1.5 | 0.900 | (0.912) | 0.0907 | (0.0936) | 0.0302 | $0.0500 \pm 26$ |

error, the breakpoints are given by equations (1) with the index 0.4 replaced by 0.5 and $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ replaced by its absolute value. The maximum error $E$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left[\frac{1}{4 N} \int_{u_{0}}^{u_{N}}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{0.5} d x\right]^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the function under discussion (7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{4 N^{2}}\left(1-e^{-1.5 c}\right)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the error $\delta E$ in $E$ due to the approximations used in deriving (8) may be shown to be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta E \cong \frac{1}{9} E^{2} e^{1.5 c} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Values of $E$ and $\delta E$ are included in the table.
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